

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/774,383	02/10/2004	Sang-Mi Lee	SEC.1116	1120
20987 75	590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
ONE FREEDO 11951 FREEDO	M SQUARE OM DRIVE SUITE 1260		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		1746	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Office Action Summary		10/774,383	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 De</u>	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,				
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
A 44- •	40						
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1990.	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and remarks filed 12/01/05 have been acknowledged and entered.

Specification

The objection to the specification stated in paper No. 083005 has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph stated in paper No. 083005 has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and remarks.

2. Claims 2-13, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 16, and claim 8, line 15, "semiconductor substrate" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,383

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verhaverbeke (5,972,123).

Verhaverbeke discloses a method and composition for cleaning a semiconductor substrate. The reference discloses the providing, preparing, exposing, the rinsing and drying steps as claimed. The reference also discloses the temperature, the nitride and the oxide layers as claimed. The reference discloses the cleaning solution comprises hydrogen fluoride, ammonium fluoride and deionized water as claimed. See col. 1, line 15-col. 2, line 65, col. 3, lines 7-28, col. 3, line 63, col. 5, lines 19-62, col. 6, lines 34-64, and the examples. Re claim 17, the process as claimed is inherent in Verhaverbeke method. With respect to claims 18-27, one skilled in the art would adjust ratio of HF to NH4F to H2O in the cleaning solution to obtain optimum results. See Verhaverbeke, col. 5, lines 25-29.

Verhaverbeke discloses all limitation with the exception of the concentration as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time applicants invented the claimed invention to adjust the concentration to obtain optimum results. This is also because Verhaverbeke discloses that the present invention allows any mixing ratio at point of use providing increased processing flexibility, allowing etch rate and selectivity to be optimized for a given semi-conductor process. The mixing ratio can be easily changed at any time. See col. 2, lines 50-53, 61-66. This is also because the discovery

Art Unit: 1746

of an optimum value of result effective variable is generally considered to be within the skill of the art. See In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Verhaverbeke does not disclose the percent by weight of hydrogen fluoride exceeds that of the ammonium fluoride. Applicants' argument is unpersuasive. This is because Verhaverbeke discloses that the dynamic range was explored while adjusting the excess HF concentration from 10% to 100% of the NH4F concentration. See example 1, col. 7, lines 38-67, and col. 6, lines 33-39.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner

Zeinal Elanin

Art Unit 1746

ZEE 02/03/06